

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMI	ERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>CR06-70390HRL</u>
V.		<u> </u>
JOSE RAMIREZ, JR	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with	the Bail Reform Act 1811S	C 8 21/2/0 - 1-1-1-1
was present, represented by	his attorney Cynthia Lie ARD	C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on June 28, 2006. Defendant D. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney <u>Dave</u>
<u>Callaway</u>	Solution State All P	D. The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney <u>Dave</u>
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS AP	PLICABLE	
/ / The defendant i	s charged with an offense de	coribed in 10 II C. C. a at an a
of a prior offense described i	n 18 U.S.C. 8 3142(f)(1) whi	scribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
whichever is later.	(a) Jeans mas crapson since in	e date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
	huttable presumption that no	non-distance to the second con-
of any other person and the c	ommunity	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
/ / There is probab	le cause based upon (the indi	oh
has committed an offense	te cause based upon (the mon	ctment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
· -	Which a maximum tarm of in	unuti.
<u> </u>	et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 95	mprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
B. und	ler 18 II S.C. 8 024(a): 1150 at	Fo Simon 1 : 1
This establishes a rel	outtable presumption that no	f a firearm during the commission of a felony. condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant a	is required and the safety of t	
No presumption	applies.	JUN 2 8 2006
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRES	SUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	JUN 2 0
/ / The defendant h	as not come forward with suf	ficient evidence to rebut the analisable and RICHARD W. WIEKING RICHARD W. WIEKING RICHARD W. WIEKING
therefore will be ordered detail	ined.	or California of California of California
/ / The defendant ha	as come forward with eviden	NORTHERN DISTRIBUTION SAN JOSE to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of p	roof shifts back to the United	States
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PI	RESUMPTIONS REPUTTED OF	INARDI ICLES D
X The United State	s has proved to a prepondera	nce of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
reasonably assure the appearan	nce of the defendant as requir	ed. AND/OP
/ / The United State	s has proved by clear and cor	Wincing evidence that we are the
reasonably assure the safety of	any other person and the cor	mannity
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDING	S OF FACT AND STATEMENT	OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
/ / The Court has tal	cen into account the factors so	et out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follow	'S:	wout in 10 0.5.6. § 5142(g) and all of the information submitted at
	orney, and the AUSA have w	aived written findings
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGAR	DING DETENTION	-1.00 Witten inidings.
The defendant is committ	ed to the custody of the Attor	mey General or his designated representative for confinement in a
the same same to the	CAUGHT DIACHCADIE ITOM nerso	MS 2W21ftng or corrup a contamp - 1 ' 1 11'
a little and the control of the cont	Leasonable oblightimity for no	1Vale congultation with defense 1 0 1
or on the request of	au auvillev for the crovernme	The the mercan in above a file and the control of t
endant to the United States Man	shal for the purpose of an ap	pearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		with a court proceeding.
1		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
1/20/21		
red: 6/28/06		1 Norman
• 1	HC	WARD RALLOYD
		ited States Magistrate Judge
		- ~

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____